



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,279	12/15/2004	Phillip Martin Cowley	O-2002.735 US	6683
31846 7590 04/24/2007 INTERVET INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT PO BOX 318 MILLSBORO, DE 19966-0318			EXAMINER BERNHARDT, EMILY B	
			ART UNIT 1624	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/24/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/518,279	Applicant(s) COWLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Emily Bernhardt	Art Unit 1624	

All Participants:

(1) Emily Bernhardt.

(2) Ms. Susan Hess.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 4/9/07 the examiner informed Ms. Hess that a printer query is present in the case. The printer pointed to many pages of the specification in which the last 1 or 2 lines have been compressed or partly cut off. Ms. Hess responded that she will try to obtain a clean copy of the specification. As of 4/12/07 no new specification had been submitted. The examiner contacted Office of Publications and indicated that the corresponding US Patent publication, US 2005/0250760 A1 was completely legible. The missing text was provided to them. Ms. Hess did fax a substitute specification to the examiner on 4/20 via fax but this copy has the top pages cut off in some instances and in others the data on the bottom is still illegible in places. Thus the specification will be scanned in the file but not entered. The Office will rely on the Patent Pub for the needed text..